INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Detroit District **FILE NUMBER:** 04-150-010-0

Date October 12,

2004

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION In the office N Date

COMPLETED: (Y/N):

At the project Y Date 06 site (Y/N) : Oct

lat:41-25-4.8360 lon:86-4-19.2000

2004

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Indiana County: Marshall

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude

coordinates:

Approximate size of site/property (including undetermined

uplands) in acres

Name of waterway or watershed: Kankakee

Type of Aquatic Resource ¹ :	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	Х								
Slough									
Prairie Pothole									
Wet Meadow									
Playa Lake									
Vernal Pool									
Natural Pond									
Other Water (identify type)									

 $^{^{1}}$ Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able to Make Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?					X	
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			Х			
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?					X	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?			х			

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary Or Approved X

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

The woodlot was lower in the landscape than the surrounding corn and bean fields. Onsite inspection confirmed conclusions of topographic map that the wetland is hydrologically isolated.

TALLY Of Wetland Acreage:

Jurisdictional Waters: 0.00 acres

Isolated Waters not under COE Jurisdiction:

Wetland A: >0.10 acres

Total: >0.10 acres isolated per the SWANCC Supreme Court Decision